

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/048,933	03/26/1998	DEAN A. KLEIN	MEI-97-01386	4879
22835 . 7	590 01/29/2002			
PARK, VAUGHAN & FLEMING LLP		LLP	EXAM	INER
508 SECOND SUITE 201		•	LO, LINUS H	
DAVIS, CA	05616		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2614	
			DATE MAILED: 01/29/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

14

1		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	•	09/048,933	KLEIN, DEAN A.				
Advi	sory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Linus H Lo	2614				
The MANITAL	DATE of this communication appe		correspondence address				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address THE REPLY FILED 1/10/2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a sinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee nave been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any searned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: see the attached paper.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.							
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of t	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allow	Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objec	Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejec	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10 and 12-19</u> .						
Claim(s) with	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
9.☐ Note the attac	hed Information Disclosure Stater	ment(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s	s)				
10. ☐ Other:			Grain				
			JOHNW. MILLER PATENT EXAMINER				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Application/Control Number: 09/048,933

Art Unit: 2614

- 1. It is noted the mark up version of the amendment, does not fully correspond to the clean copy of the amended claims, i.e. the limitation of "wherein the core logic chip is a semiconductor chip ... for the computer system" is not recited in the mark-up copy. Thus for the response purpose the limitation of "wherein the core logic chip is a semiconductor chip ... for the computer system" is considered to be included in the purposed amendment.
- The purposed amendment will not be entered because: the recited limitations "wherein the core logic chip is a semiconductor chip that couples the processor to a main memory and a system bus for the computer system" as recited in both claims 1 and 13(both twice amended), which is not recited and argued in prior communication, and they raise the new issue that would required further consideration and/or search. Thus the purposed amendment is considered not enter.

LL